

## Appendix 2. Free text and additional comments

Q2. If you think the Vision Statement or the three aims need to change, please add your suggestion in the box below. ....	2
Q3a. What do you think about the remaining numbers (25 and 8) from the point of view of their effect on the two villages as places to live in? .....	5
Q3b. If you ticked "Too many" or "Too few" above, please say how many you think are appropriate.....	5
Q4. Do you think any more Affordable Houses should be built in Orleton?.....	9
Q5. What do you think about 2 Affordable Houses being built in Richards Castle? .....	9
Q6. Who should have priority when new 'affordable' homes are offered for rent, shared ownership and discounted sales? .....	9
Q7. What would you consider to be a minimum period for someone with a 'local connection' above to have lived or worked in one or both of the parishes?.....	9
Q8. How important to you are the following? .....	10
Q9. What do you think is appropriate for our villages from an appearance point of view? .....	11
Q10. What do you think is appropriate for any one housing development in our villages? .....	12
Q11. Which forms of layout do you think is appropriate for houses in any one housing development in our two villages? .....	12
Q12. What are your views on extensions to existing houses, sub-division of gardens to create new houses, or conversion of outbuildings into new dwellings? .....	12
Q13. More generally, what sizes of new housing do you think are needed to meet housing need in our two parishes?.....	13
Q14. Which assets in Orleton should be safeguarded from loss by development, or be provided for if they don't yet exist? .....	13
Q15. Which assets in Richards Castle should be safeguarded from loss by development, or be provided for if they don't yet exist?.....	15
Q16a. The settlement boundary is shown as a black line on the map. Would you want to:- .....	15
Q16b. Please can you fill in the table to show where you think new houses should be allowed to be built? .....	15
Q17. Should new building be allowed in the Orleton flood zone if adequate flood protection is provided? .....	16
Q18. In which of these areas do you think new houses should be allowed to be built? .....	16
Q19 With that in mind would you like the Neighbourhood Plan to set out what the Parish Councils should do in terms of consulting the community if such unforeseen matters arise in the future?' .....	17
Q20. How important is it to you to prevent the following types of impact of businesses inside the villages .....	17
Q21. What do you think more generally about change of use of the land currently used for farming or forestry?.....	17
Q22. What do you think of the following forms of business development in the countryside? .....	18

Q23. Which of the following effects are important to you in deciding the acceptability or otherwise of change of land-use outside the villages?..... 21

Q24. Which of the following potential uses of land in the countryside to generate power (primarily for export into the grid) are you willing to accept?..... 22

Q25. As a separate issue, what do you think about the following possible future uses of the large block of land occupied by the Woofferton Radio Transmitter station? ..... 23

Q26. Which of the following should be safeguarded from inappropriate adjacent development? ..... 24

Any other comments..... 26

**Q2. If you think the Vision Statement or the three aims need to change, please add your suggestion in the box below.**

---

	Ref. No.:	Q2a. Vision Statement
1	7055	* Add: low energy, eco, sustainable
2	8004	...all ages and all social backgrounds
3	2144	Develop and build property to attract young people into the area.
4	8161	Do not overdevelop the environment and destroy it 1 This is worded in a way to get more affordable homes. It is a loaded question.
5	7126	Farming is already being held back by M.Ps paying farmers to grow nothing also these two villages were self-sufficient in all produce back a few years. Now that is all finished due to new rules but nobody takes any notice - the same will happen with this form. We urgently need car park at school or move school
6	2057	Full agreement.
7	2113	I accept the Vision Statement as long as it is recognised that there are many things which contribute to its fulfilment outside/beyond the neighbourhood plan itself. I think this is recognised by the word 'contribute ' in Q1 but perhaps it should be made more explicit that there should be other aims beyond those relevant to the neighbourhood itself. These other aims should include faster broadband, public transport improvements and more cultural/ social activities, the list is not exhausted!
8	2122	I believe that the countryside needs to be maintained, the green spaces to be kept and untouched.
9	7029	I feel the questions above cover all my concerns
10	7013	I suggest adding a few words - (underlined) i.e. "high quality of life for ALL residents, IRRESPECTIVE OF AGE OR SOCIAL STANDING, a resilient economy...etc
11	8110	I think development should be piecemeal (especially in Richards Castle) and not another big housing estate.
12	2049	I would only like to stress the words VERY IMPORTANT Aims of all 3 suggestions.
13	73	I wouldn't change this
14	47	In conjunction with 1, I would like to somehow develop a "Village Green", with seats, shrubs walkways if possible. There is no "heart" to the village. Move the monument if needed.
15	2096	Lines 3 & 4 could, more accurately be deleted - a bit too woolly.
16	2143	Not sure that the economy part is relevant as it only applies to a minority of people in the villages. Most people work outside the villages.
17	8101	Orleton and Richards Castle are rural Herefordshire VILLAGES and should remain so. Farming, rural enterprises, home based businesses should be nurtured. The core village character should be cherished and protected and not allowed to be buried under the creep of suburban sprawl. Rural working villages NOT suburbia! Q1 comment for parts 1 and 2 "Too big a question to allow a tick box answer!"

18	8077	Orleton and Richards Castle should continue to be a thriving community for all, making best use of resources and the natural environment
19	8016	Q1 comments circled 'environment' line to tick in 'fairly important' column 'local heritage' commented "not important"
20	7028	Questions above cover all my concerns
21	7056	Sustainable & eco-friendly, low energy (needs to be added to 1 above)
22	2097	The two parishes should be treated independently. Why should resident of R.C. make decisions about Orleton and vice versa.
23	140	To be sure the rural environment is protected and doesn't have building developments imposed upon it.
24	139	To protect the rural environment and ensure that all new building developments are sympathetic to the rural aesthetics of the village.
25	127	Vision statement doesn't mention all races and creeds just ages which is a very narrow view and non-inclusive.
26	111	We would like Orleton and Richards Castle to be vibrant village communities with working infrastructure and amenities to sustain the village life. It is essential for the well-being of the communities that there is a mix of ages and abilities and thus the built environment has to facilitate this. The villages are set in beautiful surroundings full of wildlife, which has gone in many other parts of the country. We need to protect and sustain this environment for future generations.
27	2139	What is a resilient economy? - Orleton already has this and what is a sustainable use of resources?
28	2102	While respecting the need to protect and support the natural environment.
29	7009	You need to add in about technology for the future e.g. Internet, renewable energies & environmentally aware public transport

	<b>Ref. No.:</b>	<b>Q2b. Aims</b>
1	111	1. Develop the built environment following the aims stated above. This includes ensuring mixed developments to cater for all ages and ensuring proper infrastructure is in place for this. 2. Make sure there is enough flexibility to allow for small, local and farming businesses to develop. 3. Protect and enhance the natural environment and realise the importance of our local heritage.
2	8141	1 above. Housing needs should be for local people!!! Care needs to be take and rules regarding qualification should be clear and un-ambiguous in a way that ensures that this will be the case and to prevent the incoming people from far distant areas
3	8101	1 Recent development acknowledges the need for affordable housing including starter homes for the young. The houses respect tradition and appropriateness for their setting but with the precedent set DON'T let it become a housing estate occupying the whole side of the valley! The RURAL economy needs LAND! (Point 2) and probably discreetly houses small business units in redundant existing structures.
4	7075	1) Housing for young people should be affordable to allow the community to thrive, otherwise there'll be a more dependent population. Accommodation suitable for the elderly should also be provided
5	2099	2 But which does not adversely affect existing residential or other amenity and if adverse impact might occur, that imposing planning conditions that are enforced to be considered. This involves the necessary officers, intent, action and will as an integral part of any on-going process.
6	2096	2. Farming is a business
7	98	Aim 1. 'housing needs of all age groups' - Needs to be kept in proportion, to the size of the village(s) and the amenities available.
8	93	Aim 1. People will see "Develop the built environment" without reading on and perhaps NOT consider its importance as to 'maintaining' and 'enhancing'.
9	8003	Aim 1. Special importance/ emphasis on affordable housing

10	2027	Aim1 is three separate aims. Develop the built environment -- Not important. In a way that maintains & enhances distinctiveness & attractiveness. --Very important. Catering for the housing needs of all age groups.-- Fairly important.
11	2131	All of this plan depends upon the drainage infrastructure - both rainwater & floodwater but most importantly sewage.
12	2132	All this depends on the drainage being increased.
13	2115	Any new developments should be in tandem with local employment opportunities. The environmental impact of transport implications must be considered.
14	8150	Do not overdevelop the environment and destroy it 1 This is worded in a way to get more affordable homes. It is a loaded question.
15	2114	Ensure any development is sustainable by electricity, utilities, such as sewerage, water supply, natural gas and broadband. Any development must not have a negative impact, such as increasing instances of flooding.
16	2057	Full agreement.
17	22	I understand the housing needs are important, but I feel we must aim to commit to the services required to cater for all age groups. For example, maintenance of transport, the local shop, medical care, entertainment and sports facilities.
18	7077	It will only thrive if homes are provided for, for young families & young people to live in at affordable prices to buy or rent. The older generation need to be looked after in Orleton in sheltered or nursing home/residential home, to stay in their village when they can no longer look after themselves.
19	8110	Keep the village developing naturally
20	7117	Leave the countryside alone for the wildlife and not spoil it by building more unwanted eyesores.
21	8102	Maintain a sensitive empathy with the historical architecture and its preservation, the lines of the village rooftops, lanes and the materials of which buildings are originally built; to also respect ancient woodland and pathways and hedgerows
22	49	Need to acknowledge access to services i.e. school/dr./church/ village hall/ recreational provision - outdoor amenity land.
23	7069	No more houses. Make use of sites in towns. Save the village, big enough now
24	7016	No. 1 - Much of the 'distinctiveness and attractiveness' of Orleton has already been lost through development (e.g. large estates of very similar modern bungalows). Aim No. 1 should therefore be to: "Develop the built environment in a way that RECOVERS, maintains, and then enhances it's distinctiveness and attractiveness...."
25	8095	Number 2: Change wording: Delete "successful farming" as this vague term has distinct potential to clash with Aim 3. Replace with:- "economically and environmentally sustainable farming"
26	47	People could then walk, and perhaps meet others to talk and contemplate. Also the Village notice board in Kitchenhill road is in a stupid place. Not many people go by there.
27	2139	Recent development in Orleton? - not attractive. - How is the sewerage going to be dealt with? - Impact on existing property owners below in Millbrook.
28	8077	The aims are covered by the above statement. The more you try and break it down, the more derisive it becomes.
29	8053	This questionnaire is far too long!
30	2144	To enhance the cross section of the community as it's far too overweight in the over 60s.
31	2061	To spread development over the full period up to 2031 and prevent single estate development taking up whole allocation.
32	7119	Too many houses now, no more. Build on derelict sites in towns. Save our village before it becomes a town without a town hall. Stop spoiling it

**Q3a. What do you think about the remaining numbers (25 and 8) from the point of view of their effect on the two villages as places to live in?**

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	73	It all depends on where they are.
2	2096	Uneasy about casting a view about a village section where not a resident. This is worrying as the population involved are disparate we should not have the right to vote on another village - Big wits' endian view will prevail.
3	142	Until sewage is addressed
4	141	Until sewage is addressed
5	2128	Depends on what & where.

**Q3b. If you ticked "Too many" or "Too few" above, please say how many you think are appropriate.**

---

Q3a. Orleton	Q3b. Orleton	Q3a. Richards Castle	Q3b. Richards Castle
About right	30	About right	2
Too few	30	About right	2
Too few	30	About right	6
Too few	30	About right	8
Too few	30	About right	8
Too few	30	About right	8
Too few	30	About right	8
Too few	30	About right	8
Too few	35	About right	8
Too few	35	About right	10
Too few	35	About right	12
Too few	40	About right	20
Too few	40	Too few	4
Too few	40	Too few	6
Too few	40	Too few	8
Too few	40	Too few	10
Too few	49	Too few	10
Too few	50	Too few	10
Too few	50	Too few	10
Too few	50	Too few	10
Too few	50	Too few	10
Too few	60	Too few	10
Too few	70	Too few	12
Too few	75	Too few	12
Too few	100	Too few	12
Too few	100	Too few	12
Too Many	0	Too few	12
Too Many	0	Too few	12
Too Many	0	Too few	12
Too Many	0	Too few	12
Too Many	0	Too few	12
Too Many	0	Too few	13
Too Many	0	Too few	13
Too Many	0	Too few	14
Too Many	0	Too few	15
Too Many	0	Too few	15



Too Many	10		Too Many	2
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10		Too Many	5
Too Many	10		Too Many	5
Too Many	10		Too Many	5
Too Many	10		Too Many	5
Too Many	10		Too Many	6
Too Many	10		Too Many	7
Too Many	10		Too Many	8
Too Many	10		Too Many	4
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	10			
Too Many	11			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			
Too Many	12			

Too Many	12		
Too Many	12		
Too Many	12		
Too Many	12		
Too Many	12		
Too Many	14		
Too Many	14		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	15		
Too Many	16		
Too Many	18		
Too Many	18		
Too Many	18		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	20		
Too Many	30		
Too Many	50		
Too Many	? Can't say.		
Too Many	1/2 (of the proposed amount?)		
Too Many	Nil		



#### Q4. Do you think any more Affordable Houses should be built in Orleton?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	2099	Affordable is an interesting concept. 'Planning' restricts supply of land thus price is elevated. Seems local way round that is mobile homes.
2	8048	If they can be bought outright, not part owned like the 4 up on [redacted] field
3	8150	If we say yes then we are allowing the council too much slack to run roughshod over us again
4	8161	If we say yes then we are allowing the council too much slack to run roughshod over us again
5	65	Sheltered housing for elderly YES
6	8047	To buy. Not part rent, part buy
7	2139	Unless they can be offered to family members of Orleton residents.
8	2116	Yes ticked. 'Use remaining numbers for small scale building'

#### Q5. What do you think about 2 Affordable Houses being built in Richards Castle?

---

Ref. No.	Comment
2096	"All proposals should be considered surely on merit"

#### Q6. Who should have priority when new 'affordable' homes are offered for rent, shared ownership and discounted sales?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	76	Comment written next to those who live or work -2 questions in 1!!
2	7016	Doctors, teachers etc.' to Key workers option
3	8150	No restriction- open to all-comers' commented "never"
4	8161	No restriction- open to all-comers' commented "never"
5	142	None until sewage has been addressed
6	141	None until sewage has been addressed
7	33	Respondent has crossed out "or worked" in the second option.
8	32	Respondent has written "high" "medium" and "low" above 'Those who live or work', 'have lived' and 'or worked' respectively
9	47	To release a family home in the village for a smaller home
10	2143	Unlikely ' written against the 3rd option.

#### Q7. What would you consider to be a minimum period for someone with a 'local connection' above to have lived or worked in one or both of the parishes?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	7069	20 years+
2	7119	20 years+
3	2055	3 - 6 yrs
4	8023	But with a minimum of 2 or 3 years not one.

5	8138	I actually think 3 years - 1 year is not enough
6	2096	The question is no reasonable as it assumes locals should have priority over someone with greater need

## Q8. How important to you are the following?

	Ref. No.:	Q8. How important to you are the following? [New housing - appearance and amenities]	
1	2096	Fairly important	Common sense decision not driven by artificial rules or set numbers of locations. Nothing ruled out and nothing prescribed.
2	57	Fairly important	NO shared ownership houses.
3	2099	Fairly important	Space for outbuildings.
4	2145	Fairly important	They don't have to look the same as other houses - I'm not against a modern design.
5	118	Very important	200 mtrs away from listed buildings.
6	8039	Very important	3 bedroom house
7	8087	Very important	A footpath for children walking to school
8	8124	Very important	A high percentage should be employed
9	8084	Very important	Access routes not being too busy. School and other infrastructure being extended in line with increasing population.
10	7037	Very important	Affordability/social housing
11	7038	Very important	all houses should have solar and thermal tech to save environment
12	7117	Very important	Boundary fencing
13	47	Very important	Built at different angles so that you don't look directly into a neighbour's house.
14	7009	Very important	Carbon neutral in operation
15	50	Very important	Comply with conservation area status building requirements.
16	18	Very important	Design of house should not be the same.
17	8082	Very important	Encourage higher quality architecture
18	8048	Very important	Every property should have at least three parking spaces. Also roads in and out of village should be widened. And more 3 bedroom low cost to buy houses built.
19	2113	Very important	Fencing/ hedging that blends with the immediate environment.
20	65	Very important	Free from the encumbrances, nuisances and dangers of Conservation Order trees.
21	8004	Very important	Harmonise with adjacent and nearby buildings
22	7077	Very important	In a terrace not to be identical, mixture of sizes, porches, roofs etc.
23	7	Very important	In keeping with the countryside
24	8002	Very important	Innovative internal design to maximise energy efficiency
25	2038	Very important	Innovative internal design to minimise energy usage.
26	7054	Very important	Looked at as infill to existing properties
27	8077	Very important	Make proper provision for waste bins, especially in smaller houses
28	2122	Very important	No council houses, keep traditional old buildings.
29	7016	Very important	No more bungalow estates! We need, in time, to RE-develop these areas and recover some of the lost character of the area
30	105	Very important	Not to obscure anyone's view.
31	8035	Very important	Off road parking should cater for at least two parking spaces per unit and not be allowed to be further developed
32	2061	Very important	Parking for two cars and turning space within curtilage
33	44	Very important	Plant trees around any new build to ensure natural environment.
34	8088	Very important	Plant trees around development/new houses to screen and blend in with the countryside
35	8015	Very important	Prioritise self-build. If you are proud of your house you build it better. No more groups of same looking houses placed together - - Didn't see q10 yet!

36	8150	Very important	Should be built on infill NOT new land outside the village
37	8161	Very important	Should be built on infill NOT new land outside the village
38	2090	Very important	Sustainable design.
39	2134	Very important	Terraced housing with off road parking.
40	8020	Very important	This option is far too limited. It all depends on context and the special character the size and appearance can vary within the village.
41	73	Very important	To be fit for purpose, whatever the intended purpose may be.
42	8104	Very important	tree planting - part of development to be included
43	139	Very important	Try to avoid 'housing estate' style developments by limiting developments to only 1 or 2 plot
44	7018		- Orleton does NOT need more bungalows! - using materials that are local or emulate in appearance local materials is often desirable.
45	123		As long as the architecture blends in with other historic houses - especially BORDER OAK. Border oak is excellent as seen in Orleton i.e. Spirit House and cottage.
46	8021		Commented "But not BO" next to "traditional forms..."
47	7100		Disabled access if needed
48	111		Each generation have different ideas about design therefore there should be flexibility. PC should be given choice.
49	140		No more than 2 new houses in a given space to avoid dreadful developments.
50	126		Not overlooking existing houses
51	8040		some houses closer than others, depending on setting also size of house for outside space
52	7042		terracing can be attractive, economical in energy usage, & very traditional
53	8102		Trees and shrubs by roadside and in gardens to mature
54	8101		Use of redundant buildings built originally for purposes other than residential
55	8005		"Mix of both" written in the 'fairly important' column across both 'similar size and appearance...' and 'innovative design' rows
56	8101		Next to 'Similar size...' - "SCALE is important" Bracketed ticks for 'Traditional forms...' and 'Innovative external...' - "Both can work"
57	2116		All in favour of energy usage in principle - but no idea what 'innovative' external design implies
58	7016		? Depends on location, type/width of road' to off-road parking option
59	7056		Border Oak' crossed out in first option and 'vernacular' added
60	7018		Depends on what is around it' written against 'similar size and appearance', ' - can be 'Border Oak' style or more modern, but should fit their situation and utilise the best of modern materials and technology' written against 'traditional forms' option
61	7107		Provide off road parking' option: ' - for majority - not essential depends on location'
62	7103		Usually' written against 'off road parking' option

### Q9. What do you think is appropriate for our villages from an appearance point of view?

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	73	"Too big" next to 'four and five or more bedrooms'.
2	8056	2 bedroom bungalow for elderly who have lived here for years
3	7123	All depends on location'
4	2096	All depends on location. All new houses are valuable if only to release other local homes down or up the ladder
5	72	Appearance isn't based on the number of bedrooms!!! Ridiculous question.

6	7100	Depends on circumstances' written against 'five or more bedrooms' option
7	7086	It's more to do with how they are designed'
8	2099	Newness is a difficult quality which time softens. As the numbers are small each can be considered as an individual visual issue - make clematis compulsory.
9	7046	Odd question - not sure what is meant by it'
10	7018	Orleton has many large houses already' written next to Five or more bedrooms option

#### Q10. What do you think is appropriate for any one housing development in our villages?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	8028	Comment next to Orleton 'one house' - "infill"
2	2096	Depends on location
3	8101	It depends on location and whether or not an existing structure is incorporated
4	2099	Judge each case on merit.
5	7069	None
6	142	None until sewage has been addressed
7	141	None until sewage has been addressed
8	2128	Stupid question format.
9	2116	Surely this depends on the size of the plot. Adversity question needed here..

#### Q11. Which forms of layout do you think is appropriate for houses in any one housing development in our two villages?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	2027	Comment - 'In Richards Castle ' written by ribbon option. 'In Orleton ' written by block option.
2	7042	Depends on how many' next to ribbon option
3	8077	Infill development
4	140	Infill only
5	2063	No more than 4 ' next to block layout.
6	142	None until sewage has been addressed
7	141	None until sewage has been addressed
8	139	Respondent has written "try to restrict to infill only" with regard to the 'yes' tick for 'Ribbon: houses built along a public road frontage'.
9	8150	Silly question! It will always depend on any land available. The council will review each application any way!
10	8161	Silly question! It will always depend on any land available. The council will review each application any way!

#### Q12. What are your views on extensions to existing houses, sub-division of gardens to create new houses, or conversion of outbuildings into new dwellings?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	7107	Assuming these count towards the final number of new houses', 'owners should be free to extend their houses..' option : 'AONB, conservation area etc.'. 'Owners should be free to sub-divide their gardens.....' option : 'AONB, conservation area etc.'

2	7106	Assuming these count towards the total number' written beside question and 'subject to AONB conservation area restrictions (+ listed building)' written next to option 'owners should be free to extend their houses...'
3	7046	Concerned with this question. What is meant by negative impact? Neighbours' concerns may be unreasonable but felt to be very worrying for them. Should give example' written next to 'extensions should not be allowed if they have a negative impact...' option
4	8101	Individual cases should be carefully considered based on objective and aesthetic points of reference" For all options EXCEPT Ex-'Extensions should not be allowed...', Sub-division of gardens-'Sub-division of gardens for new houses should not be allowed...' and Conversion of outbuildings-'Development of outhouses as separate dwellings should not be allowed...' Also crossed out tick boxes for first 2 Extensions options
5	7105	Subject to AONB + conservation area restrictions' written next to 'owners should be free to extend their houses..' and 'Owners should be free to subdivide their gardens...' option
6	7103	Subject to AONB etc.' written against 'owners should be free to extend their houses...' option

### Q13. More generally, what sizes of new housing do you think are needed to meet housing need in our two parishes?

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	8038	Crossed out '1' in '1-2 bedroom sheltered housing...'
2	7103	Hfds want working from home - Eco option'
3	8101	Individual cases should be carefully considered based on objective and aesthetic points of reference
4	7106	Maybe some - up to five rooms to work from home for a family with children" next to 'more than 4 bedrooms "' option
5	140	No appropriate facilities in village' with regard to the 'No' tick for ' 1-2 bedroom sheltered housing for older or special needs people', in Richards Castle.
6	7069	None
7	7119	None
8	8034	Orleton crossed out '1' in '1-2 bedroom'
9	18	Respondent has crossed out the '1' in '1 - 2 bedrooms' option.
10	71	Respondent has crossed out the '1' in '1-2 bedroom'.
11	139	Respondent has written "owing to the lack of facilities in the village" with regard to the 'No' tick for ' 1-2 bedroom sheltered housing for older or special needs people', in Richards Castle.

### Q14. Which assets in Orleton should be safeguarded from loss by development, or be provided for if they don't yet exist?

	Ref. No.:	Other (please specify)
1	7018	? Library (within existing site. e.g. Village Hall?)
2	2113	Adaptation of the church for more general social/ cultural (non-religious ) functions.
3	62	Adequate roads
4	43	Building behind "The Boot" war memorial.
5	111	Bus service Working sewage system
6	2089	Car park ( for example as in Pembridge )
7	8104	Car park for St George's Church
8	8088	Car park for St George's Church
9	2155	Church.
10	8067	Coffee shop

11	8066	Coffee shop
12	47	Comment related to "Village Green" answer - "give us a heart please".
13	8084	Decent, regular bus service to Ludlow
14	58	Disruption of views for existing owners.
15	40	Footpaths provided for all new dwellings to link houses to assets.
16	140	If the Shop/Post Office were friendlier to non-locals I'd support their preservation.
17	8102	Kings road frontage (line of road should be preserved)
18	79	Manor house should be cherished as important part of Orleton history, likewise old brew house at rear of the Boot Inn.
19	2049	More allotments instead of so many new houses.
20	8039	No flood plain development
21	8081	Orchards Bus service
22	7013	places of worship
23	8019	Please note Orleton rec is already protected from development
24	8048	Post office Footpaths (open up all old ones) i.e. From:- Old Mill to Main Road From;- Footbridge Mill Lane up to Kitchen Hill Road From:- Sunnyside Green Lane across to Ashley Moor Hall (who did away with these footpaths?)
25	8154	Proper bus service to Ludlow and Hereford also to Tenbury Wells and Worcester
26	8103	Pub car park is VERY necessary - on street parking has become a REAL problem for emergency vehicles and buses and general motorists
27	8094	Public footpaths
28	8024	Q9 comment next to question "depends on housing needs"
29	8089	Safeguard the woods which form part of the overall area of the playing fields
30	2112	Sheltered small house/bungalows for elderly with warden - so older people can stay in the area near friends and relatives - very important!!
31	7126	Shop should be built somewhere else to provide off road parking
32	7009	Small business units (start-up business units)
33	8058	Small petrol station Q14a comment next to 'Shop' - "incl. P.O."
34	8023	Spaces for small businesses (offices or workshops)
35	57	Tennis court
36	136	Tennis court.
37	56	Tennis courts
38	8087	The existing woods at the end of the playing fields should be safeguarded
39	2127	The woods adjacent to the playing fields planted 20 years ago should be safeguarded.
40	123	These properties should be protected.
41	8020	Trees in all hedges q10 comment next to question "Depends on context and appropriate to the setting"
42	7106	Views - how the village looks - from road & further away
43	7107	Views around area of village
44	7103	Views around Orleton - no more unsightly, out of keeping, very visible housing
45	2146	Village garage/mechanic workshop.
46	2099	Village Hall, surgery and shop could be combined perhaps - parking advantage.
47	64	War Memorial, Cemetery, Bothy behind Boot Inn.
48	2139	War Memorial. Burial Ground. Church, cemetery.
49	42	Yes - Keep P.O.
50	8077	Youth club Hall Good bus service
51	8101	Comments - Next to 'village green' - "How does this differ to what exists (playing field)" next to 'allotments' - "If demand is there"
52	7084	Too late!!' written against village green & allotments option
53	7075	We already have one?!' written against village green option in Existing assets section

**Q15. Which assets in Richards Castle should be safeguarded from loss by development, or be provided for if they don't yet exist?**

	Ref. No.:	Other (please specify)
1	123	Both villages need to be protected.
2	2041	The green on the Row and on the road by old church where road turns.
3	7106	Views - how the village looks - from road & further away
4	7107	Views of village

**Q16a. The settlement boundary is shown as a black line on the map. Would you want to:-**

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	7028	A little' next to extend it option
2	7029	A little' next to extend it option
3	7030	A little' next to extend it option
4	7113	A little' next to extend it option
5	7107	Do not extend to include B C D or G'
6	7103	Do not extend to include B C or D or f'
7	7106	Do not extend to include b, C, or D or G
8	7105	Do not extend to include B, C, or D or G' written beneath 'retain as it is' box
9	2109	Extend it ticked but 'Slightly' written in.
10	2116	Keep/retain eastern edge, southwest edge could be extended. Not possible to answer as black line is incomplete.
11	8161	This is nonsense since affordable homes already built outside boundary
12	8150	This is nonsense since affordable homes already built outside boundary

**Q16b. Please can you fill in the table to show where you think new houses should be allowed to be built?**

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	8016	"But not over flood zone" next to options p & q
2	8101	a - crossed through options commented "unable to locate" b - "NEW building has already been established here - NOW constraint is required" e - "You ARE Joking?" m - "This site is interesting as redundant buildings have numerous potential applications" n - "worth considering proposition" o, p, q - Too close to flood plain!"
3	8102	a- "Cannot locate on map"
4	7056	a: 'no more!', k: 'road access poor!'
5	8150	b - "already over developed" f - "include in boundary" o,p,q - "flooding"
6	8161	b - "already over developed" f - "include in boundary" o,p,q - "flooding"
7	2116	b - already used in part, e- too wet
8	8035	But kept clear of flood zone - next to p and q
9	41	Could not find!" next to ticked 'no opinion' box for 'e'.
10	7055	Comment against Area b 'No further addition' Q 16b comment against Area k 'Poor access'
11	8124	e - "Did not think this flooded anymore"
12	8142	g - "(I have an interest in this)"

13	8103	h - "pub car park" p - "only for school parking"
14	2145	If adequate flood defences ' by area e.
15	13	If owners would like - beside 'i', 'j' and 'l'; "It may not flood but it gets very soggy" beside 'o'.
16	7119	N/A none
17	8020	No more - next to 'b'
18	7069	None at all
19	2136	Not indicated where' written beside line e.
20	7126	Opposite school as needed before or move school elsewhere
21	2099	Other than Q17 it seems to me each case can only be decided on individual design density etc.- i.e each area might be appropriate for something. In a sense say 'Yes' to all and rely on good sense of officers to control contentious aspects.
22	2146	Possible conversion of barns.
23	8087	The present new build are far too high!
24	8018	This area is protected by a covenant preventing building
25	8019	This is protected by covenant - next to 'g'

### Q17. Should new building be allowed in the Orleton flood zone if adequate flood protection is provided?

---

	Ref. No.:	Comment
1	8150	Flood protection only moves the problem elsewhere!
2	2113	No' ticked. 'But ' yes ' if the adequate flood protection is provided also to existing properties on the flood plain'.
3	7100	Asking for trouble basically'
4	8138	But only if this protection doesn't move the flooding problem to another area
5	2025	Depends on the extent of the flood plain on the site, i.e. not in site e as it is mostly flood plain but site p is mostly out of flood plain so is fine.
6	8161	Flood protection only moves the problem elsewhere!
7	8105	Never build on floodplains!!! - cannot guarantee protection will always be adequate
8	7077	No' option ticked and comment 'Are you mad!'
9	8101	Underlined 'adequate' in question - "what's that?" "What? Built on stilts?! and to include compulsory boat houses! Come on!"

### Q18. In which of these areas do you think new houses should be allowed to be built?

---

	Ref. No.	Comment
1	2096	Crossed out allowed and wrote considered rather than excluded, also wrote Where is the rest of the village for consideration in particular Gogin Road where some infill or outbuilding development would not harm
2	7042	Most sensible area' against option A2*
3	7069	None
4	7119	None
5	2099	Other than Q17 it seems to me each case can only be decided on individual design density etc.- i.e each area might be appropriate for something. In a sense say 'Yes' to all and rely on good sense of officers to control contentious aspects.
6	123	Protect the medieval, historic village, castle and church.



**Q19 With that in mind would you like the Neighbourhood Plan to set out what the Parish Councils should do in terms of consulting the community if such unforeseen matters arise in the future?**

---

Ref. No.	Comment
8150	The council will try to ignore the plan any way so we must stop them
8161	The council will try to ignore the plan any way so we must stop them

**Q20. How important is it to you to prevent the following types of impact of businesses inside the villages**

---

Ref. no	Comment
7069	No Businesses
7119	No Businesses
7039	That's why we CHOOSE to live here'

**Q21. What do you think more generally about change of use of the land currently used for farming or forestry?**

---

	Ref.	Comment
1	2113	Allow change freely if it provides local employment - ' Yes if small scale '
2	8084	Allow change freely if it provides local employment' and 'Allow change subject to current...' comment "both at same time - i.e. should provide local employment while also being subject to environmental protection"
3	7037	Comment 'what type employment' written below question
4	2099	Do those who live outside villages have same rights to domestic amenity as those in villages? Change to what, presumably housing/ All subject to current/future expressed policy/scouting.
5	123	Have lived in Orleton for many years. Came from N. London - Hopefully have answered all questions correctly. I was a towny but now concerned for our green and pleasant land. Now love this village and surrounding countryside.
6	2096	Judge any proposal on merit
7	8101	Judge based on proposition
8	7069	Keep our Farmland
9	7119	Keep our Farmland
10	111	Parish council and local residents should be allowed to choose any change of use.
11	8150	Silly question! We are supposed to have policies in place. Presumably they work so why ditch them!
12	8161	Silly question! We are supposed to have policies in place. Presumably they work so why ditch them!

## Q22. What do you think of the following forms of business development in the countryside?

	Ref. No.:	1.Other	Other please specify choices	2.Other	Other please specify choices	3.Other	Other please specify choices
1	7117	Acceptable	Wildlife protection	Acceptable	Ban wildlife shooting	Acceptable	Ban fields being used for moto cross bikes
2	44	Acceptable	Old people's homes	Acceptable	Swimming pool, sports facility	Acceptable	Farm shop, campsite, B & B etc. on large farms.
3	50	Acceptable	Care homes/ retirement home. village amenities	Acceptable	Sports. swimming facilities	Acceptable	Hotel/B & B accommodations
4	7037	Acceptable	small scale solar	Acceptable	small scale turbines, (wind)	Acceptable	small scale hydro, small scale coppicing
5	5	Not acceptable	Large scale farming	Not acceptable	Wind farming	Not acceptable	Caravan sites
6	6	Not acceptable	Large scale intensive potato farming	Not acceptable	Large scale arable farming	Not acceptable	Large scale haulage businesses
7	65	Not acceptable	Larger scale sports areas, courses, tracks, pitches, etc., shooting ranges, fishing.	Not acceptable	Facilities for winged aircraft take-off and landing.	Not acceptable	Permanent car-boot style fairs. Also permanent caravan or trailer homes sites.
8	8039	Not acceptable	Biomass digesters	Not acceptable	Wind farms	Not acceptable	Solar panel fields
9	49	Acceptable	Small workshops	Acceptable	Educational / rural. Small accommodation etc.		
10	8087	Acceptable	Major wind turbines	Acceptable	Fields full of solar panels		
11	7042	Acceptable	smaller renewable energy project e.g. wind turbines, solar, hydro	Acceptable	Home workers using computers		
12	2099	Acceptable	No point - acceptability can be assessed at application.	Acceptable	Log business not mentioned.		
13	2145	Acceptable	Mechanic/ small garage.	Acceptable	Online/web based businesses but must be supported by high-speed broadband.		
14	8108	Acceptable	Forestry products - small scale	Acceptable	Servicing local area e.g. garage business or repair business		
15	7041	Acceptable	solar panel farms	Acceptable	wind farms		
16	7075	Acceptable	Solar panels on buildings	Acceptable	Wind farms		

17	8005	Acceptable	Car maintenance garage	Acceptable	Woodworking		
18	2057	Not acceptable	Areas of solar PV panels of no benefit to the community.	Acceptable	Areas of solar PV panels which the community can benefit from.		
19	48	Not acceptable	Car repair/maintenance	Acceptable	Service industries in general.		
20	7039	Not acceptable	bio digester	Acceptable	solar panels		
21	8077	Acceptable	Better web connection urgently required to allow IT and home based companies to operate.				
22	115	Acceptable	Catering local pubs				
23	2102	Acceptable	Communications e.g. using the internet.				
24	7059	Acceptable	Decent changing rooms for football				
25	2027	Acceptable	Farming in tune with the current size & scale: poultry cattle crops etc.				
26	8025	Acceptable	Internet businesses run from home				
27	2146	Acceptable	Local garage/mechanics workshop.				
28	8058	Acceptable	Petrol station/servicing/MOT				
29	7118	Acceptable	Protect the wildlife already here				
30	40	Acceptable	Small scale IT consultancy				
31	7009	Acceptable	small business start ups				
32	8060	Acceptable	solar farm				
33	7024	Acceptable	Solar panels				
34	2085	Acceptable	Use of water powered turbines.				
35	12	Acceptable	Vehicle workshop, garage repair, MOT etc.				
36	7077	Acceptable	Wind farms				
37	7076	Acceptable	Wind Farms				
38	7103	Acceptable	Working from home is eco-friendly. Better broadband to support this				

39	7107	Acceptable	Working from home should be encouraged - eco-friendly & good for village infrastructure eg shop post office pub				
40	8095	Not acceptable	* Leisure-related business which create significant noise impact		Q22 next to 'Tourism/Leisure-related' commented "*" (relating to above comment)		
41	7086	Not acceptable	Agricultural machinery sales or service				
42	8102	Not acceptable	Brightly painted/lit buildings or signs				
43	32	Not acceptable	Caravan parks (large 7+), camping, glamping.				
44	8159	Not acceptable	Large areas of ground-based PV panels				
45	2019	Not acceptable	Large or small wind turbine farms.				
46	102	Not acceptable	Large scale retailing				
47	2018	Not acceptable	No medium or large scale wind turbines.				
48	8023	Not acceptable	retail park /big box stores				
49	7100	Not acceptable	Sewage treatment plant				
50	2096	Not acceptable	Solar panel farms				
51	8020	Not acceptable	Solar parks				
52	7043	Not acceptable	static caravan sites > 15 units				
53	8128	Not acceptable	Wind farms				
54	8132		* 'Areas of glass-housing or poly-tunnels' and commented "depending on size"				
55	8026		Businesses run from home				
56	8101		Office based occupation using improving online communication in homes and gardens				
57	139		"Explain e.g.?" written in the 'light manufacturing' box.				
58	2099		8th 9th 10th 11th & 13th option (Cattle/poultry farming, warehousing, polytunels and waste storage) - ' Yes/ no too crude- each necessary to have impact study & if ok then Yes, if not, No'				

59	2009		Areas of glass housing or poly tunnels ticked but ' if size appropriate to surroundings' written in. Also Warehousing ticked as not acceptable but ' depending on size, some small scale will be ok, associated with other activity' has been written in.
60	2116		But not if this means keeping cattle in sheds all year round.
61	8023		Craft workshops' - "yes!" 'small-scale retailing' - "independently owned"
62	7018		Depends what and where' written against catering option, 'depends where' written against waste storage option
63	7113		Poss' next to 'light manufacturing' option
64	7042		Screened, of limited size' next to areas of glass housing or poly tunnels option
65	8101		Warehousing' - "within existing structures" "All judged on individual propositions NOT tick boxes!"
66	7016		What scale!?' to warehousing option, '? How big and where?' to waste storage option
67	7042		What size' next to catering option

### Q23. Which of the following effects are important to you in deciding the acceptability or otherwise of change of land-use outside the villages?

	Ref. No.:	Other (please specify)	Other (please specify)
1	7049	Important	Cheaply made properties that look out of place
2	2096	Important	common sense
3	49	Important	Enhance village life.
4	8088	Important	Excessive flood/outside lighting
5	8020	Important	Habitat
6	2139	Important	Land pollution.
7	40	Important	Local benefit in terms of jobs or community asset.
8	2116	Important	Loss of unimproved pasture. Loss of semi-natural woodland.
9	2099	Important	Pollution.
10	2061	Important	Potential effect on neighbours
11	8060	Important	Provides employment locally
12	65	Important	Public access and/Public Rights of Way. Degrading ancient byways.
13	7043	Important	requires major changes to infrastructure e.g. roads, utilities
14	7041	Important	takes up farm land
15	2127	Important	The likely need.
16	8150	Important	These must be compatible with existing land use i.e. factories are not in keeping with a rural area
17	8161	Important	These must be compatible with existing land use i.e. factories are not in keeping with a rural area
18	50	Important	Toxic/ hazardous materials
19	8058		Comment next to 'visually...' - written "not incl. wind turbines"
20	123		Do not want these intrusions.
21	7100		Foreign people that have nothing to make the village a better place than it already is
22	7077		We have noise & smells in the country, the town has its own noise & smells!
23	8138		Causes noticeable increase in road traffic' commented "But obviously that depends whether the road infrastructure can cope with the increased traffic"
24	7042		Comment 'attractive or not?' written in 'no opinion' box for 'visually out of character' option
25	7016		Except farm/'country' type smells are o.k.!' to unpleasant smells option
26	2146		In the right location' written beside the 4th option (increase in road traffic).

27	54		Makes unpleasant smells' written "depends on what smell" and "natural farming smells are fine" and "no chemical smell".
28	55		Makes unpleasant smells' written "no to chemicals"
29	7075		You live in the country!' written next to Smells, Noise & traffic options

**Q24. Which of the following potential uses of land in the countryside to generate power (primarily for export into the grid) are you willing to accept?**

	Ref. No.:	Other (please specify)	Other (please specify)
1	2057	Accept	Geothermal elec.- generation
2	88	Accept	Hydroelectric power
3	65	Accept	Hydro, with or without reservoirs.
4	8108	Accept	Hydro-electric
5	7046	Accept	Measures to support the widespread introduction of ground source & air source heat pumps to ? ? and provide homes in the community
6	8095	Accept	Micro HEP scheme e.g. Bromfield
7	7042	Accept	Small areas of solar panels, screened where appropriate. Communal.
8	2099	Accept	Small scale Hydro.
9	8060	Accept	Small scale hydro-electric scheme
10	7077	Accept	Solar not taking up grazing farm ground, ok on scrub land or buildings
11	8019	Accept	Water power from Orleton Brook
12	8150	Do not accept	The case for renewable energy is not proven. It relies on heavy subsidies
13	8161	Do not accept	The case for renewable energy is not proven. It relies on heavy subsidies
14	82		All above on a scale in proposition to village size.
15	8101		Am insufficiently informed to comment. Long Term: Investment required in low impact (on environment) power sources
16	54		Am opposed to any large scale development of the above.
17	8125		Cannot comment as I don't understand
18	55		I am opposed to large scale of the above.
19	42		Large solar arrays should be principally put on poor land
20	8058		Rather see wind turbines than big yellow storage on my hills
21	84		Scale of above relative to size of village.
22	2116		But not on unimproved farmland/ pasture.
23	7042		Do not know enough' next to bio-digester option
24	7113		Don't have enough info on these' next to solar panels and bio-digester options
25	2049		Don't know what a bio- digester plant is or what one looks like.
26	2063		Only if carefully sited to avoid despoiling views easily seen & enjoyed by parishioners & public.
27	2145		Solar panels acceptable depending on site - could be hidden, would not want to be in a very obvious position.
28	7023		Subject to location written for all three options

**Q25. As a separate issue, what do you think about the following possible future uses of the large block of land occupied by the Woofferton Radio Transmitter station?**

	Ref. No.:		Other (please specify)
1	44	Acceptable	Acceptable - forestry / tree nursery. Not acceptable - travellers park
2	63	Acceptable	Agriculture
3	2084	Acceptable	Agriculture
4	8108	Acceptable	Agriculture and forestry
5	2101	Acceptable	Agriculture.
6	7117	Acceptable	Animal sanctuary
7	8094	Acceptable	Artificial lake, sustainable fish, picnic area, trout farm and wooded area for walks
8	7118	Acceptable	Equine/animal related
9	7041	Acceptable	farming
10	88	Acceptable	Farming
11	40	Acceptable	Farming
12	2028	Acceptable	Farming.
13	62	Acceptable	Farmland
14	50	Acceptable	Forestry, woodland/common land, allotments
15	8060	Acceptable	Horticulture
16	2146	Acceptable	Light industrial such as small commercial units'
17	8156	Acceptable	New woodland
18	7083	Acceptable	Plant trees or other crop for energy production
19	5	Acceptable	Put back to farmland
20	7100	Acceptable	Quad track and outdoor sports for all ages
21	8084	Acceptable	Recreational facilities
22	6	Acceptable	Retain area as farmland
23	2111	Acceptable	Return to agricultural use.
24	2085	Acceptable	Return to agricultural use.
25	8038	Acceptable	Return to agriculture
26	7105	Acceptable	Return to farmland
27	7107	Acceptable	return to farmland
28	7103	Acceptable	Return to farmland
29	7106	Acceptable	Return to farmland - it is 250 acres!
30	2157	Acceptable	S.E.T.1
31	2099	Acceptable	Self- build accommodation with workshops.
32	8104	Acceptable	Small offices e.g. IT/computer services
33	7037	Acceptable	Try willow coppicing
34	8157	Acceptable	Woodland
35	7056	Acceptable if has low impact on community	Aerodrome (light aircraft)
36	7085	Acceptable if has low impact on community	Agriculture/market garden.
37	2041	Acceptable if has low impact on community	Light Industrial.
38	8005	Acceptable if has low impact on community	Small businesses for local jobs
39	65	Not acceptable	Commercial sporting activities.

40	114	Not acceptable	Farming
41	29	Not acceptable	Gypsies or any "travellers"
42	8048		Agriculture
43	8015		commented "floods" next to "not acceptable" option for "housing"
44	7042		coppicing e.g. willow
45	45		Farming
46	1112		Respondent has written beside 'housing' "too wet land".
47	8101		Site sufficiently important to judge any proposition individually ... NOT TICK BOXES!
48	7099		Water turbines?
49	2096		Assumes Q is not either/or nor any one use of whole area
50	7016		Assuming this means INSTEAD of the Transmitter Station at some point in time'
51	2145		Light ' put next to the word 'industrial'.
52	7042		Road access heavier traffic & may need investment' next to trading option
53	8101		SITE sufficiently important to judge any proposition individually... NOT tick boxes!
54	8150		Trading' - "what is this?" "Are the MOD closing Woofferton?"
55	8161		Trading' - "what is this?" "Are the MOD closing Woofferton?"
56	55		Very modest development might be acceptable. Woofferton community deserve the biggest say.
57	7046		What does low impact mean?' and 'These questions are too vague for me to give a response to'

## Q26. Which of the following should be safeguarded from inappropriate adjacent development?

	Ref. No.:	Orleton	Richards Castle	Open-Ended Response
1	135	Yes	Yes	Traditional farm buildings. Views e.g. those spoiled by inappropriately sited housing.
2	2009	Yes		All listed buildings.
3	8084	Yes		Area of generally older buildings around The Boot Inn
4	8048	Yes		Boot car park
5	7056	Yes		Boot Inn and smallest house in Hereford
6	2159	Yes		Doctor's surgery.
7	8081	Yes		Dwelling in grounds of the Boot Inn. Telephone Box.
8	7083	Yes		Open land for public access such as the playing field + adjacent wood
9	7084	Yes		Open land such as recreation field - Orleton. Or allotments in Richards Castle
10	7086	Yes		Orleton Manor
11	8158	Yes		Orleton manor
12	8019	Yes		Orleton Manor
13	23	Yes		Orleton manor
14	50	Yes		Orleton manor grounds, lake, house, country lanes around vicinity. This historically relevant building in Orleton should be protected from close development and traffic increase.
15	79	Yes		Orleton manor house
16	44	Yes		Orleton Manor House and orchards and lake. Multiple housing developments adjacent to country lanes due to traffic safety
17	8017	Yes		Orleton village recreation field



18	8032	Yes		Orleton village recreation ground
19	8023	Yes		Playing fields (I realise this is not a heritage site but...)
20	8058	Yes		Playing fields, play park and village hall Orleton
21	8156	Yes		Primary school
22	7055	Yes		Public house in Kings Road ('The Boot')
23	8060	Yes		Recreation ground
24	7063	Yes		School
25	7062	Yes		School
26	45	Yes		School
27	52	Yes		The Boot / War memorial
28	8150	Yes		The Boot, Orleton
29	8161	Yes		The Boot, Orleton
30	8101	Yes		The core of Orleton ... Kitchen Hill road to Kings Road and War memorial to Maidenhead (aka Baker's Arms) in particular reference to pre-20th C properties
31	8020	Yes		The historic village
32	2089	Yes		The historically important lanes / buildings in the village. Hereford Council website - Herefordshire through time- sites & monuments record, 5 pages- and sites such as a medieval ridge and furrow ox plough marks. Also the listed buildings on the National Heritage list for England 9 English Heritage website for both parishes.
33	2138	Yes		The little old Lodge adjacent to the Boat Inn.
34	2113	Yes		The Mill Lane/Millbrook Bridge area of Orleton, with its 5 old houses, at least one being grade 2 listed.
35	48	Yes		The old Methodist graveyard area in Mortimer Way, towards the junction with Kitchenhill Road.
36	7085	Yes		The school
37	7106	Yes		Views from main road - blocks B C D & others visible from main road - new development visible for miles e.g. from Bircher Common - AONB/Nat Trust etc.- & very detrimental - because of the lie of the land
38	7107	Yes		Views from main road blocks B & C & D are visible from main road - new development out of keeping with the village & visible for a long distance eg from roads & Bircher Common AONB/Nat Trust land. New buildings should be screened by row or more of trees
39	7103	Yes		Views from main road blocks B C D - is very visible & new building is very out of keeping & can be seen for miles (AONB - Bircher Common ) & is very detrimental
40	7105	Yes		Views from main road blocks B C D very visible from main road - new development is not in keeping with the village buildings & is visible for miles (because of the lie of the land) esp. from Bircher Common - (AONB, National Trust etc.) - & very detrimental
41	55	Yes		Village hall and school
42	54	Yes		Village Hall and School
43	88	Yes		War memorial
44	2041	Yes		War Memorial and Graveyard on Millbrook Way.
45	8157	Yes		Whole village
46	8108		Yes	Area of possible flooding and numerous springs not put on the map causing extra loading onto a small brook/flooding my house. The view from my window which is what I bought the house for.
47	125		Yes	Development along Old Post Office Lane
48	133		Yes	Hanway Common
49	7042		Yes	Historic boundaries of R.C. parish
50	118		Yes	Listed buildings
51	2039		Yes	Orchard Rise.

52	7020			* playing field/area *public footpaths/walkways across fields into village/access from Portway.
53	22			God's Acre, old Plymouth bretherin burial site, Orleton manor.
54	107			If any houses are built between Court House Farm and Rock crossroads, they MUST be in keeping with ancient existing dwellings.
55	80			Orleton manor house.
56	123			Please protect heritage sites.
57	1112			Respondent has written "Dovecote privately owned. The Dovecote is privately owned, it should be maintained by owners, as is any property privately owned."
58	11			Vehicles turning in grave yard!
59	2139			War memorial. Burial ground. Church, Cemetery.
60	7046			Whole village especially my house! To be honest this is another poor question. Are we to assume that shall be susceptible to inappropriate development next to them?
61	2099			By definition ' inappropriate' anywhere, who judges? no one wants ' the inappropriate'
62	8105			Misleading question. What is inappropriate? Nobody wants 'inappropriate' development so this question is biased. The word 'inappropriate' should not be included in the question
63	2016			Who decides what is inappropriate - leading question.
64	8146			Wind turbine farm' commented "not enough wind"

## Any other comments

---

Ref. No.	Comment
123	"As long as the architecture is good and blends with scenery it is OK. I hope I have answered questions correctly! Building houses is necessary but hopefully will not destroy our beautiful countryside in Shropshire/Hereford. Living in Orleton is a blessing as we SO love our countryside - Orleton super village."
8095	"Why not a question establishing length of residence?"
7077	Comment on front cover ' I have made no comment on Richards Castle as I do not believe living in Orleton we should make these important decisions for a parish we do not live in'
8119	Comment on front of questionnaire- "I do not have the heart to complete this survey - because after all the hard work by the past survey, findings were ignored; because in my opinion Parish Council vote about the site of new housing was ignored. We all want and need additional housing but in the best place for its inhabitants, school, services, width of road, pavements etc"
7052	Comment on front page of questionnaire : ' I'm sorry - I really tried to complete this question but it is just too long and far too detailed for the average person who doesn't have an interest in or knowledge of planning'
2010	I do think you should be looking at the boundaries of the conservation area as I think it covers too large an area which has no real need to be included.
5003	Regarding the neighbourhood plan. My husband and I have only lived in the village since November 2014 and as we are renting the property we feel that we cannot give any useful information. (Name supplied in letter).